



Volume 3	Issue 1	May (2024)	DOI: 10.47540/ijcs.v3i1.1386	Page: 11 – 26
----------	---------	------------	------------------------------	---------------

NGO and Waste Management: Learning from Bangladesh

Md. Abu Shahan

University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh

Corresponding Author: Md. Abu Shahan; Email: shahan.sw@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Environment, Municipality, NGO, Waste Management.

Received : 30 March 2024

Revised : 04 May 2024

Accepted : 08 May 2024

ABSTRACT

Waste management is very crucial for the protection of the environment and for keeping living places safe and hygienic. However, this paper tried to explore the exemplify roles of non-government organizations in reducing waste and proper waste management in the municipality area of Bangladesh. This paper was prepared based on qualitative in nature while some KIIs and FGDs were conducted to unveil the impactful roles of NGOs in environment protection through waste management. The paper revealed that NGOs are trying to develop good practices regarding waste management in the municipality area was considered the most polluted and contaminated area compared to other parts of the country. They have funded various types of activities like awareness building activities, practice growing activities, equipment installments like bins, IEC distribution, green club formation, treatment plan establishment, vehicle support for carrying, and disposal points were mentionable. Moreover, people who can play a vital role in waste management, particularly local government representatives and women should be aware. Along with, installing permanent disposal facilities, fixation of waste collection date, developing a market of recycled waste, whistling before collection of waste, bin installation, etc., are needed to make strong the waste management activities in municipality areas of Bangladesh.

INTRODUCTION

Like in the majority of underdeveloped nations, solid waste management has received little attention and study in Bangladesh. Concerned parties have recently started to view this sector as crucial to preserving both the environment and human health. Bangladesh's urban population has grown at an extremely rapid rate, almost 6% annually, and is mostly centered in six main cities: Dhaka, Chittagong, Khulna, Rajshahi, Barisal, and Sylhet. According to current estimates, these cities are home to roughly 13% of the overall population and 55–60% of the urban population (Alamgir et al, 2005). The effective management of the MSW system in these cities is a collaborative effort involving the city authority, private organizations, community-based organizations, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs). The overall state of affairs has not changed, though. It becomes clear that, given the pertinent socioeconomic contexts and technological features of the nation, an integrated solid waste management system (ISWM)

is necessary. The restrictions, limitations, and pertinent experiences of the current management system must be examined to investigate the potential of implementing an ISWM. To achieve this, a feasibility study known as Waste Safe (Alamgir et al., 2005) was carried out to determine the current state of MSW management in the least developed Asian countries (LDACs), including its issues and constraints. Ahsan et al. (2013) and Samah et al. (2013a and 2013b) provide reports on the MSW composition, generation, management, and recycling operations in Malaysia.

Bangladesh, where 63 million people, or 38% of the total population, live in urban areas, is one of the South Asian nations that is urbanizing the fastest (The World Bank, 2020). Rapid urbanization has increased trash generation and complicated waste management, especially in large cities like Dhaka. The environment, public health, and urban livability are all negatively impacted by these developments. Sustainable Cities and Communities, the eleventh Sustainable Development Goal,

mandates that by 2030, “lower the adverse per capita environmental effect of cities, especially by paying special attention to air quality and municipal and other waste management”. Additionally, this target and the achievement of other SDGs are closely linked. One way to assist SDG 7 aims to “provide access to cheap, dependable, sustainable, and modern energy for all”—is through recycling waste. This will help attain sustainable energy. (Sirajul Islam, 2021). Because of its steep topography, a profusion of greenery, and scenic splendor, Bangladesh has sometimes been referred to as the “Greenest Nation” in the world. However, the city's clean lines have been seriously deteriorating due to the proliferation of trash piles and inadequate waste management (Shamsuddoha, 2009).

Waste is any substance, product, or thing that is disposed of, scheduled for disposal, or required for disposal because it is no longer suitable for its intended use. It is often divided into two categories: hazardous and non-hazardous materials. Hazardous wastes include things like pharmaceutical, industrial, and electronic (or “e-waste”) wastes. Non-toxic garbage includes things like building debris and household garbage from municipalities. Alternatively, trash might be liquid or solid, and each requires a different approach to treatment and disposal. Garbage, whether hazardous or not, may present significant risks to human health and the environment if it is not collected and managed (Oluwagbayide et al., 2024). According to the United Nations (1997), Managing solid waste is the “controlled processing of waste material from creation at the source through the recovery processes to disposal”. In metropolitan regions, municipal solid garbage is a major burden due to its volume and management challenges. Over twenty-five thousand tonnes of waste are created every day in Bangladeshi cities, with each individual producing 170 kg of solid waste annually (Ahmed, 2019). From 6,500 tonnes in 1991 to 13,300 tonnes in 2005, the volume of waste more than doubled in 15 years (Dhaka Tribune, 2020a). Trash will rise as a result of the country's economic transition to a middle-class economy, changes in urban residents' living conditions, and the country's growing urbanization. As a result, it is anticipated that by 2025, per capita urban solid waste generation will rise from 0.49 kg in 1995 to 0.60 kg. Ahmed, 2019.

Current waste management techniques are characterized by ineffective garbage collection, expensive removal and disposal procedures, a lack of adequate land for final disposal, a lack of recycling regulations, and a lack of environmental awareness (Abedin & Jahiruddin, 2015).

The histories of the societies that produced the trash, their relationships with nature, and the resources they used are all reflected in the history of trash before the Industrial Revolution, the Parisian *motta papellardorum* and the Roman *cloaca maxima* both demonstrated the tight relationship between urban sustainability and waste management. There was very little garbage produced, and methods of collection and disposal were often inefficient, leading to charges of foul stenches in the cities. Neo-Hippocratic medicine, which attributed excess mortality in cities to environmental and air pollution, resulted in the implementation of new management strategies and laws to clean up towns throughout Europe. The bulk of city waste that was meant for farming or industry also saw a rise in value.

Thus, there was a close relationship between salubrity and excreta recovery from the 1770s to the 1860s. The recycling sector was negatively impacted starting in the 1870s by the fertilizer revolution, the dramatic growth of the coal and later petroleum industries, and the need for more readily accessible resources. While several cities made early attempts to counter the devaluation of urban by-products, a large number of them gave up in the interwar years. Reducing this cost was the aim of trash management until the 1960s. What used to be profitable is now expensive for society. The surroundings served as a waste disposal facility. Critiques of the industrial metropolis, mounting concern about the planet's resources, and an environmental crisis characterized the 1960s and 1970s. Waste was seen in this context as a representation of the excesses of a consumer society. The amount of waste generated kept growing, and the resulting sanitary accidents had a lasting effect. The outcomes of trash policy implementation vary. The bad consequences of this “curse of the developed world” extended to underdeveloped countries (Barles, 2014).

Precisely, waste disposal has a long history dating back to ancient Greece, where workers dug holes in the ground to deposit waste. China

developed recycling and composting methods in 2,000 B.C., and Athens passed regulations requiring waste disposal at least one mile beyond municipal limits. The first documented use of packaging dates back to 1551 and the first anti-littering ordinance in New York City was enacted in 1657. The Public Health Act of 1875 forbids widespread scavenging and permits rubbish collection. The US built its first incinerator in 1885 and expanded landfills in 1914. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) was established in 1970, and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act led to waste management, recycling, and conservation plans in 1976. In the 2000s, over 5,000 American municipalities implemented “pay-as-you-throw” programs.

Solid Waste Management: Past and Future

The environment, also known as the “global commons”, is crucial for human development and produces emissions and waste from various sectors. Rules for solid waste collection and emission restrictions were first passed in the 19th century to protect public health. However, hazardous, industrial, and municipal solid waste disposal remained unregulated until the latter part of the 20th century. The London haze led to the UK enacting the Clean Air Act in 1956, which limited the use of solid fuels in homes. The environmental movement was sparked by Rachel Carson's book *Silent Spring*, which highlighted pollution caused by persistent pesticides. Industrialized countries began enacting comprehensive environmental regulations in the 1970s to address waste pollution.

Controlling Wastes and Ramping Up Technical Standards Since the 1970s

Since the 1970s, waste control has been a significant focus of attention, with new legislation, the “technical fix”, and institutional contexts being key considerations. Governments and other stakeholders played a crucial role in creating institutional norms for waste management. From the 1980s, efforts were made to increase technical standards for appropriate waste management, addressing major concerns such as hazardous waste, municipal solid waste, and intended and unintended consequences. This period saw a rise in technical requirements for waste management, with governments and other interested parties playing a significant role in shaping these norms.

Further Evolution from 1990s–2020s—a More Integrated Approach

The governments and stakeholders of nations have been working towards a coordinated strategy for effective waste management. This involves the development of a new analytical framework (Wilson et al., 2013; Pojasek, 1986; US EPA, 1989, 1995), understanding the history of waste hierarchy (Rodic, 2015; Van de Klundert and Anschütz, 2001; Anschütz et al., 2004; Schübeler, 1996; Rodic and Wilson, 2017; Wilson et al., 2015b), sustainable integrated trash management, and strategic planning for MSWM (Bimmoy, 2022; Read et al., 2005; NI DoE, 2000). The main focus for integration is governance factors such as local institutional coherence (Wilson et al., 2000; Whiteman et al., 2021), financial durability (UNEP and ISWA, 2015; World Bank Group, 2018; Velis and Mavropoulos, 2016; Wilson 2018b; Welivita et al., 2015; Ferronato and Torretta, 2019; Wilson and Velis, 2015; Vinti et al., 2021; Kabera et al., 2019; Cointreau, 2006), provider inclusivity (Arlosoroff, 1991; Wilson et al., 2000; Coad, 2005; Soos et al., 2014; Wilson et al., 2017; Soos et al., 2017; World Bank Group, 2018), user inclusivity (Cox et al., 2010; UNEP and ISWA, 2015; Seager et al., 2020; Beall, 1997; Dias and Ogando, 2015; Wittmer, 2022; Carpintero-Rogero and McGilchrist, 2015), and a framework for national laws and policies (Nyathi and Togo, 2020; LaGrega et al., 1994; Wilson, 1996; UNEP and ISWA, 2015; Godfrey, 2019; Rodic and Wilson, 2017; Xevgenos et al., 2015; Wilson, 2018b; Godfrey and Oelofse, 2017; Muposhi and Mpinganjira, 2022). The integration approach also focuses on ensuring provider inclusivity, user inclusivity, and the use of electronic waste. The main issues for integration include governance factors, financial durability, provider inclusivity, user inclusivity, and the framework for national laws and policies. The integration approach aims to address these issues and promote sustainable waste management practices (Cao et al., 2016).

Reflections on Present and Future Priorities 2020–2030

Over the past 50 years, waste and resource management has significantly evolved in the Global North. This has led to a shift in priorities, including global action on plastic pollution, waste, and climate, open burning of waste, and the establishment of international science-policy panels on chemicals, waste, and pollution prevention (UNEA, 2022b; UNEP, 2022; UNEP and ISWA, 2015; Kossoff et al., 2014; UN-Habitat, 2021a; GIZ et al., 2020; UK Defra, 2022; Ihsanullah et al., 2022; Duan et al., 2008; Kanwal et al., 2022; Su et al., 2022). The changing landscape of waste management (UN Population, 2022; UN Population, 2018; OECD, 2002; Hoornweg, et al., 2013; Velis et al., 2023; Hoornweg et al., 2015; UNEP and ISWA, 2015; Velis et al., 2023; UNEP and ISWA, 2015; Karak et al., 2012; UNEP and ISWA, 2015; Karak et al., 2012; UNEP and ISWA, 2015; Das, et al., 2019; Manggali and Susanna, 2019; Mahyari et al., 2022; Singh et al., 2022; Kenny and Priyadarshini, 2021; Liang, et al., 2021; WHO, 1999, 2014; Townend, et al., 2009), including the UN Population, OECD, and various international organizations, has prompted a need for a comprehensive approach to address these issues.

The focus should be on addressing the challenges posed by the changing landscape, such as plastic pollution, waste, and climate (Bagui et al, 2021; Coracero et al., 2021; IPCC, 2013; FAO, 2013; Wilson et al., 2015c; Diaz-Bone et al., 2021; WRAP, 2021; Savino, 2009), open burning of waste (Gómez-Sanabria et al., 2022; Ramadan et al., 2022; Kodros et al., 2016; Saikawa et al., 2020; Powrie et al., 2021; Mebratu and Mbandi, 2022; UNEA, 2022a; Cook and Velis, 2020; Velis and Cook, 2021), and the need for international collaboration on waste and pollution prevention (Geyer et al., 2017; OECD, 2022a; Barnes et al., 2009; Rochman et al., 2013; Jambeck et al., 2015; Mundus Maris, 2017; Rapid Transition, 2019; Velis et al., 2017; Lau et al., 2020; Pew and Systemiq, 2020; OECD, 2022b; Zhu and Rochman, 2022; Cottom et al., 2023; GRID-Arendal, 2022; UNEA, 2022a; UN-Habitat and NIVA, 2022). However, waste is considered a problem at all times which begins with the consumption of any type of fruits that has extra parts that cannot be consumed. Every time of human history try to manage the wastes

properly but yet undiscovered of perfect management strategies or ways. We are still trying to solve these problems in proper ways.

Examining the state of garbage and waste management in the municipality was the primary goal of this paper. More specifically, the study was also conducted to know the roles of NGOs in awareness building among inhabitants of the municipality about managing waste; to know the current practice among the inhabitants; and to explore the initiatives taken by the various NGOs concerning reducing waste and contamination.

METHODS

This study was fully designed as qualitative in a manner where only qualitative data was used for interpretation. A municipality in Bangladesh was selected as a study area due to the existence of NGO's initiatives implemented there. A total of four focus group discussions were conducted. Along with this, twelve key informant interviews were also conducted to collect data. The interview schedule was used as a research tool for key informant interview data collection. In addition, a focus group discussion guiding questionnaire was used for the conduction of group data collection.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Reduction in Water Pollution and Diseases

Automobile emissions, gases from factories and brick kilns, and a build-up of dust from many sources are Bangladesh's primary sources of pollution. The FGD participants as a whole agree that water pollution has somewhat decreased. however, not entirely diminished. One FGD member from SHG stated that water pollution Still not completely down but 2 out of 4 down. Water safety and quality in the country have improved over the past 50 years, but there are still risks to these factors. Results indicated that some factors that have contributed to a decrease in water pollution, for instance, few people throw their trash down the drain as a result of increased awareness, the fact that we dump the trash before it enters the water, and the fact that people no longer flush their trash down the toilet.

Additionally, despite speaking with the KII responders separately, the same information was revealed in this instance. They said that compared to earlier, there has been a discernible decrease in

the waste's impact on water pollution. Reducing water pollution brought on by garbage also depends on community participation in pollution prevention efforts, such as recycling and safe waste disposal. According to KII respondents, public awareness (built through meetings, posters, banners, street play, etc.), not disposing of waste into drains and water sources, and active role-playing of the various groups like WG, GC, WC, etc. are the factors that have specifically contributed to reducing water pollution caused by waste. It is less, according to the Cleaners, because we aim to discharge garbage before combining it with water sources and drains.

Additionally, both communicable and non-communicable diseases result in millions of deaths each year, billions more spent on healthcare, and subsequently trillions more lost in global economic output. Everyone who took part in the FGD agreed that "Studied municipality sickness has lowered a lot". People used to burn polythene earlier, and the smoke produced by burning polythene contained many harmful substances, said a GC FGD member. They caused a lot of harm to people, and as a result, many diseases were spread. The occurrence of diseases has greatly decreased when people stopped burning polythene. Some of the causes of a decline in diseases were cited by a working group FGD participant named Depend Chandra Roy, including an increase in public knowledge, a shift in how people dispose of their trash, and the efforts of NGOs to raise people's awareness. The same thing took place when it came to whether poor solid waste management led to a drop in illness incidence. Compared to before, it has somewhat lessened. The main causes are changes in habits and increased awareness of safe garbage disposal. As the effects of pollution lessen, diseases decline.

Structure Waste Management System

Trash management is the control, supervision, and regulation of garbage generation in addition to the collection, handling, and disposal of waste. It also includes the prevention of waste production through process improvements, recycling, and reuse. The FGD participants articulated that "structure waste management is not yet fulfilled as it should be". Reduced pollution, resource conservation, and the avoidance of environmental harm are waste management's three primary benefits. By properly disposing of our rubbish, we can drastically reduce the amount of hazardous

chemicals and toxins that find their way into our water, air, and soil. A working group participant stated that "our municipality has no structure for waste management". Although there is no formal system for trash management, there has been a change in how the community as a whole manages waste. According to the ward committee members, "There has been a little change in people's behavior in the community waste management through awareness raising and education". Similarly, FGD participants opined that "30%-40% of community members alter how they handle garbage by increasing awareness and altering their behavior". We used to put all the dirt in one spot, according to the FGD participants. We now maintain it in three distinct portions. We learned how to create compost out of trash and how to create a roof garden from plastic bottles. The reduction, Reusing, and Garbage may be appropriately managed and disposed of through recycling. Reduction means a decrease in the amount of waste produced. Reuse is the act of utilizing something more than once, whereas recycling is the process of converting waste or garbage into new resources or goods. Participants in the FGD from the ward committee stated that beneficiaries follow the necessary waste management practices. With cooking trash, organic fertilizers are produced. With polythene, they also produce door mats, backpacks, and laptop coverings.

Additionally, the majority of KII respondents stated that no set structure for waste management has been reached. By involving the municipality, the project has been attempting to accomplish that. Additionally, according to the KII respondents, the targeted area does not currently have a defined and well-organized system for managing garbage. The project stated is making an effort to deal with this problem by collaborating with the local government to create a framework for trash management. The ex-mayor of the studied municipality stated that the municipality's inability to properly manage garbage because of a lack of people and a sound plan is the biggest obstacle. To create effective waste management practices, local government and community leaders must work together, according to KII respondents. Another responder stated that for building a mutually agreed-upon framework of the waste management system, community engagement is necessary and extremely vital.

Waste Management Practice Including Waste Segregation

Garbage management is the process of collecting, moving, processing, or getting rid of different kinds of garbage while also keeping an eye on and managing them. The respondents from diverse categories reportedly acknowledged that education and awareness-raising efforts were quite successful in encouraging alterations in waste management-related behavior. Increasing recycling, decreasing overall waste creation, correctly disposing of hazardous materials, and supporting sustainable waste management techniques are a few examples of how to do this. The working group was essential in bringing about these reforms. At least 80–90% of the target households are aware of suitable garbage disposal techniques. All of the FGD participants made it clear that “in the past, people used to throw the waste everywhere, in the drain, but now people are keeping the waste separately, putting it in the dustbin, and some have started making money and earning with the waste”. However, not everyone began to perform these things. This technique is being practiced more by those who have newly joined this initiative.

Garbage segregation is also essential to reduce the impact of garbage on the environment and to prevent health issues that could arise from incorrect rubbish and pollutant disposal. Waste division is a financially advantageous strategy since it makes recycling much simpler. We divide the waste into three portions and place them in three bins, according to FGD participants. Compostable garbage is placed in the green bin. Glass and hazardous waste go in the red bin, whereas recyclable plastic, polythene, and bottles go in the yellow bin. Even though it's essential to building livable and sustainable communities, waste management is still a problem in many developing countries and towns. A participant in the FGD from the working group asserted that “Not all of us have three trash cans in our homes. However, practically everyone has a trashcan at home. Daily separation of household waste into distinct dustbins for every type of waste, including wet and dry waste that needs to be disposed of separately, is recommended. Participants in the SHG FGD observed that “only those who can afford are using 3 bins, but those who cannot afford are using only 1”. They gave a positive response when asked if they adhere to good

waste management procedures. They all claimed to have more than two practices in their homes. The proper disposal of hazardous trash and the segregation of household garbage are very popular procedures. Composting garbage is a common household activity that is done for the vegetable garden.

In the target community, it is now extremely normal practice to separate household waste. Respondents to the KII segregate their trash into various bins or containers. Decomposable wastes, plastic wastes, and hazardous wastes (such as glass and metal wastes) are separated into distinct containers that are colored green, yellow, and red, respectively. One KII respondent recycles by creating new things out of plastic and polythene. Another respondent claimed that she utilizes polythene to create reusable items like showpieces, laptop coverings, vanity purses, and table mats. Typically, homemade fertilizers for gardens and green roofs are prepared from organic and vegetable waste. For individuals who don't recycle or produce useful things, they typically sell them to manufacturers or companies. According to a member of the Green Club (GC), there are three distinct types of trash cans available for pupils to use in their school.

Importance of Waste Management in the Municipal Budget

Bangladesh's highly populated nation and enormous, quickly rising population provide significant difficulties for waste management. Therefore, it is necessary to give waste management more weight in the municipal budget. The municipality “does not give much importance to the waste management sector”, according to SHG Anita Devi, a participant in the focus group discussion. However, since the NGO project began, it has begun to get attention. The majority of participants in the FGD agree that “we do not know whether the municipal budget is made in the waste management sector, when the budget is made, and how much money is budgeted”.

Training on ‘Waste into Wealth’ IGA

By waste management, the ecosystem is shielded from the harmful impacts of the inorganic and biodegradable components included in rubbish. Inadequate waste management can lead to soil erosion, water contamination, and air pollution. Waste can be recycled with proper collection and

management. Beneficiaries of the training program use the training's lessons to their advantage. Beneficiaries raised their income by applying the knowledge and training they received. A SHG Minara Khatun FGD participant said, Earlier I used to spend my time sitting at home and watching TV, but now I am working with a sewing machine, which earns me money and supports my family. FGD participant stated that she used to dump the trash from our house here and there earlier, but now we produce compost from the waste instead of discarding it around. Our income rises as a result. With that money, we have paid for our son's admission to school.

The targeted beneficiaries now have additional sources of income thanks to the training on waste transformed into riches. Given that many of the project's target population consider collecting rubbish and recycling to be their work, the various supports and training they received allowed them to raise their revenue. A housewife said that the IGA training has helped women, especially the most vulnerable ones, overcome their financial difficulties. They added that many needy women were making money off of collecting and selling reusable rubbish. A plastic innovator claims that he is using the lessons learned from the course to generate income. Members of self-help groups (SHGs) use their income to save money and earn money by lending that money to other members at interest.

Sustainable Impact on the Community

Efficient sustainable waste management (SWM) preserves resources, lessens adverse impacts on the environment and public health, and improves the livability of cities. However, increased urbanization, institutional and financial constraints, as well as unsustainable SWM practices, harm public health and environmental sustainability. A participant in the FGD from the word committee said that "people have only mentally made the position, the sustainability work has to go now". An additional FGD participant opined that "the effect on the group member or family will be long lasting but its effect on the rest of the people will not be permanent". Even though the majority of KII respondents felt that more time was needed to bring about significant improvements in the waste management system, the effort and support have so far resulted in some adjustments. The intended

recipients' awareness and degree of knowledge regarding trash disposal have changed significantly.

Waste Management & Municipality

The management of waste is an essential public service that the municipality is in charge of providing. To improve the situation and make the neighborhood cleaner and healthier, the initiative is working with the municipality to build a structure for garbage management. Since the community members will be the ones most impacted by the waste management system, it is crucial to include them as well as the municipality in the process. Most KII respondents, except for the mayor, concurred that the municipality didn't place much emphasis on trash management. Budget priorities should have included trash management. Nowadays, the environment is changing. The projects are attempting to involve the local authority in a proper waste management system, and as a result, they became active.

Use of Ecofriendly Products

Key Informants claimed that he used 90% less plastic in his home for a variety of uses. To protect the environment, they stated that we are attempting to expand the use of eco-friendly products in place of polythene or plastic products and encourage neighbors to do the same. According to other KII respondents, the targeted homes only use eco-friendly products to a limited level for a variety of household needs. They continue to use plastic products and polythene bags. According to this claim, the targeted households only occasionally employ environmentally friendly items in their routine home tasks and continue to rely on conventional, environmentally unfriendly materials like plastic and polythene bags. This may point to a lack of knowledge about or access to environmentally friendly solutions, as well as a lack of drive to make the change. To stimulate the adoption of eco-friendly items in family consumption, it may be helpful to educate and increase awareness about the advantages of using them. The KII respondents claimed that despite this, the targeted households are eager to convert to eco-friendly items if they are accessible and reasonably priced.

The Core Policy Priorities in WRM

We are recommending a few issues that need to be taken upfront to influence how waste and resource management are evaluated going forward,

based on the results and reviews of the literature. Prioritizing policy issues include sustainable financing (Whiteman et al., 2001; Brunner and Fellner, 2007; Bundhoo, 2018; UNEP and ISWA, 2015), rethinking sustainable recycling in the Global North (Iacovidou et al., 2017, 2021; WRAP, 2022; Cahill et al., 2011), and rethinking sustainable recycling in the Global South (GA Circular, 2020; World Bank Group, 2018; Whiteman et al., 2021; Matter et al., 2013; Velis et al., 2022; Pfaff Simoneit, 2023).

CONCLUSIONS

A smart strategy to create less trash is to avoid things that are unnecessarily packaged in boxes and bags or that are wrapped in plastic. Still, the people of Bangladesh are unaware of waste management, and therefore, it is needed to take more awareness-building steps from the government and non-government sides. Moreover, installing a permanent disposal facility, fixation of waste collection date, developing a market of recycled waste, whistling before collection of waste, and installing more bins are suggested for proper management in the municipality area should be taken by the concerned authorities. Along with this, women, public and municipal authorities, particularly councilors, should be made more aware by introducing new interventions for the municipality area.

Based on the findings, here are some suggestions for the better operations of waste management programs in municipality areas of Bangladesh are as follows:

1. It is preferable to blast the whistle, remove the dirt, and collect trash door to door.
2. The need for a permanent disposal facility.
3. Women should participate more in the waste management.
4. A date should be set for the cleaners to pick up trash in a certain location.
5. More education is required in waste management.
6. More trash containers need to be available.
7. Public and municipal authorities, particularly councilors, should be made more aware.
8. Every educational institution should set up an environment-friendly club.

REFERENCES

- Abedin, M. A., & Jahiruddin, M. (2015). Waste generation and management in Bangladesh: An overview. *Asian Journal of Medical and Biological Research*, 1(1), 114-120.
- Ahsan, M. Alamgir, M. M. El-Sergany, S. Shams, M. K. Rowshon, N. N. Nik Daud, (2014). Assessment of Municipal Solid Waste Management System in a Developing Country. *Chinese Journal of Engineering*, 561935.
- Ahsan, N. Ismail, M. M. Rahman. (2013). Municipal solid waste recycling in Malaysia: present scenario and future prospects *Fresenius Environmental Bulletin*, 22(12a), 3654–3664.
- Anschütz J, IJgosse J & Scheinberg A. (2004). *Putting Integrated Sustainable Waste Management into Practice: Using the ISWM Assessment Methodology as Applied in the UWEP Plus Programme (2001–2003)*. Gouda, The Netherlands: WASTE.
- Arlosoroff S. (1991). Developing countries struggle with waste management policies. *Waste Management & Research*, (9), 491–494.
- Asefi H, Shahparvari S & Chhetri P. (2020). Advances in sustainable integrated solid waste management systems: Lessons learned over the decade 2007–2018 (Review). *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, (63), 2287–2312.
- Baird J, Curry R. & Cruz P (2014). An overview of waste crime, its characteristics, and the vulnerability of the EU waste Sector. *Waste Management & Research*, (32), 97–105.
- Barles, S. (2014). History of Waste Management and the Social and Cultural Representations of Waste. In: Agnoletti, M., Neri Serneri, S. (eds). *The Basic Environmental History. Environmental History*, vol 4. Springer, Cham.
- Barnes DKA, Galgani F, Thompson RC, et al. (2009). Accumulation and fragmentation of plastic debris in global environments. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, (364), 1985–1998.
- Beall J. (1997). Thoughts on poverty from a South Asian rubbish dump - gender, inequality and household waste. *IDS Bulletin*, (28), 73–90.

- Brunner PH and Fellner J (2007). Setting priorities for waste in developing countries. *Waste Management & Research*, (25), 234–240.
- Bundhoo ZMA (2018). Solid waste management in least developed countries: Current status and challenges faced. *Journal of Material Cycles and Waste Management*, (20), 1867–1877.
- Cahill R, Grimes SM & Wilson DC. (2011). Extended producer responsibility for packaging wastes and WEEE – a comparison of implementation and the role of local authorities across Europe. *Waste Management & Research*, (29), 455–479.
- Campitelli A and Schebek L (2020). How is the performance of waste management systems assessed globally? A systematic review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, (272), 122986.
- Cao J, Lu B, Chen Y. (2016). Extended producer responsibility system in China improves e-waste recycling: Government policies, enterprise, and public awareness. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, (62), 882–894.
- Carpintero-Rogero A and McGilchrist L. (2015). Gender and waste management. Topic Sheet No 15. In: Wilson DC and Carpintero-Rogero A (eds). *Global Waste Management Outlook*, UNEP and ISWA, op. cit. Osaka: UNEP IETC, pp. 195–199
- Carson R (1982). *Silent Spring*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Chang N, Pires A and Martinho G (2011). Empowering systems analysis for solid waste management: Challenges, trends, and perspectives. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, (41), 1449–1530.
- Coad A. (2005). *Private Sector Involvement in Solid Waste Management: Avoiding Problems and Building on Successes*. St Gallen, Switzerland: SKAT.
- Cointreau SJ. (2006). *Occupational and Environmental Health Issues of Solid Waste Management: Special Emphasis on Middle- and Lower-Income Countries*. World Bank Urban Sector Board: Urban Paper 2. Washington, DC: The World Bank.
- Cook E. & Velis CA (2020). *Global Review on Safer End of Engineered Life*. Engineering X. London: Royal Academy of Engineering.
- Cottom JW, Cook E. & Velis CA. (2023). *A local-to-global microplastic pollution emissions inventory and quantification methodology for debris and open burning*. Under review.
- Cox J, Giorgi S, Sharp V. (2010). Household waste prevention – A review of evidence. *Waste Management & Research*, (28), 193–219.
- Damgaard A, Riber C, Fruergaard T. (2010). Life-cycle-assessment of the historical development of air pollution control and energy recovery in waste incineration. *Waste Management*, (30), 1244–1250.
- Das S, Lee S-H, Kumar P. (2019). Solid waste management: Scope and the challenge of sustainability. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, (228), 658–678.
- Dias SM & Ogando AC. (2015). Rethinking gender and waste: Exploratory findings from participatory action research in Brazil. *Work Organisation, Labour & Globalisation*, (9), 51–63.
- Diaz-Bone H, von Zahn F, Paul J. (2021). *Circular Economy as a Cornerstone for Meeting the Goals of the Paris Agreement. A Road Map Towards CE-Smart NDCs*. Eschborn, Germany: Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH.
- Duan H, Huang Q, Wang Q, et al. (2008). Hazardous waste generation and management in China: A review. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, (158), 221–227.
- ERL. (1992b). *Quantification, characteristics and disposal methods of municipal waste in the community - technical and economic aspects. Report by Environmental Resources Limited (ERL)*. Brussels: Commission of the European Communities.
- FAO. (2013). *Food Wastage Footprint: Impacts on Natural Resources*. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Ferronato N. & Torretta V. (2019). Waste mismanagement in developing countries: A review of global issues. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, (16), 1060.

- Finneviden G, Bjorklund A, Moberg A. (2007). Environmental and economic assessment methods for waste management decision support: Possibilities and limitations. *Waste Management & Research*, (25), 263–269.
- GA Circular. (2020). Safeguarding the plastic recycling value Chain: Insights from Covid-19 impact in South and Southeast Asia. Available at: <http://pemsea.org/publications/brochures-and-infographics/safeguarding-plastic-recycling-value-chain-insights-covid-19>
- Geyer R, Jambeck J. & Law K. (2017). Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made. *Science Advances*, (3), 1700782.
- GIZ and LafrageHolcim. (2020). *Guidelines on pre- and co-processing of waste in cement production – use of waste as alternative fuel and raw material*. 2nd edition. 3 volumes: Main report, summary, assessment matrix.
- Godfrey L. (2019). Waste plastic, the challenge facing developing countries— ban it, change it, collect it? *Recycling*, (4), 3.
- Godfrey L. & Oelofse S. (2017). Historical review of waste management and recycling in South Africa. *Resources*, (6), 5.
- Gómez-Sanabria A, Kiesewetter G, Klimont Z. (2022). Potential for future reductions of global GHG and air pollutants from circular waste management systems. *Nature Communications*, (13), 106.
- GRID-Arendal. (2022). A seat at the table: The role of the informal recycling sector in plastic pollution reduction, and recommended policy changes. Partners: USAID, clean cities, WIEGO & ISWA women of waste task force. Funding: Norwegian Agency for Development Cooperation.
- Guo N, Wilson DC & Bell JNB. (2005). A tale of two cities: Waste management in Beijing and London. *Waste Management World*, (November– December), 73–79.
- Hardin G. (1968) The tragedy of the commons: The population problem has no technical solution; it requires a fundamental extension in morality. *Science*, (162), 1243–1248.
- Hoornweg D, Bhada-Tata P & Kennedy C. (2013). Waste production must peak this century. *Nature*, (502), 615–617.
- Hoornweg D, Bhada-Tata P and Kennedy C. (2015). Peak waste: When is it likely to occur? *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, (19), 117–128.
- Iacovidou E, Hahladakis J and Purnell P (2021). A systems thinking approach to understanding the challenges of achieving the circular economy. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, (28), 1–47.
- Iacovidou E, Millward-Hopkins J, Busch J, et al (2017). A pathway to circular economy: Developing a conceptual framework for complex value assessment of resources recovered from waste. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, (168), 1279–1288.
- Ihsanullah I, Alam G, Jamal A, et al. (2022). Recent advances in applications of artificial intelligence in solid waste management: A review. *Chemosphere*, (309), 136631.
- IPCC. (2013). *Climate change 2013: The physical science basis*. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) (editors Stocker TF, Qin D, Plattner G-K, et al., pp. 1535). Cambridge, UK and New York, USA: Cambridge University Press.
- Islam, Sirajul. (2021). ASEFSU23 Background Paper – Urban Waste Management in Bangladesh: An Overview with a Focus on Dhaka.
- ISWA WGHW. (1991). *Safe Hazardous Waste Management Systems - A State-of-the-Art Guide for Decision Makers*, 1st edn. Working Group Report Series 1991. Copenhagen/Vienna: International Solid Waste Association (ISWA), 76.
- ISWA WGHW. (2002). *Safe Hazardous Waste Management Systems - A State-of-the-Art Guide for Decision Makers*, 2nd edn. Series 2002. Silver Springs MD/Washington DC: The USA/Canadian International Solid Waste Management Federation, 63.
- Jambeck JR, Geyer R, Wilcox C, et al. (2015). Plastic waste inputs from land into the ocean. *Science*, (347), 768–770.
- Kabera T, Wilson DC. & Nishimwe H. (2019). Benchmarking performance of solid waste management and recycling systems in East Africa: Comparing Kigali Rwanda with other

- major cities. *Waste Management & Research*, (37), 58–72.
- Kanwal Q, Zeng X & Li J. (2022). Drivers-pressures-state-impact-response framework of hazardous waste management in China. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, (52), 2930–2961.
- Karak T, Bhagat RM & Bhattacharyya P. (2012). Municipal solid waste generation, composition, and management: The world scenario. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, (42), 1509–1630
- Kenny C. & Priyadarshini A. (2021). Review of current healthcare waste management methods and their effect on global health. *Healthcare*, (9), 28.
- Kleis H. & Dalager S. (2004). *100 Years of Waste Incineration in Denmark - from Refuse Destruction Plants to High-Technology Energy Works*. Copenhagen: Babcock and Wilcox Volund and Ramboll.
- Kodros JK, Wiedinmyer C, Ford B. (2016). Global burden of mortalities due to chronic exposure to ambient PM_{2.5} from open combustion of domestic waste. *Environmental Research Letters*, (11), 4022.
- Kossoff D, Dubbin W, Alfredsson M. (2014). Mine tailings dams: Characteristics, failure, environmental impacts, and remediation. A review. *Applied Geochemistry*, (51), 229–245.
- Kuhn R & Ballard K. (1998). Canadian innovations in siting hazardous waste management facilities. *Environmental Management*, (22), 533–545
- LaGrega MD, Buckingham PL, Evans JC. (1994). *Hazardous Waste Management*. 1st ed. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Lau WWY, Shiran Y, Bailey R, (2020). Evaluating scenarios toward zero plastic pollution. *Science*, (369), 1455–1461.
- Liang Y, Song Q, Wu N. (2021). Repercussions of COVID-19 pandemic on solid waste generation and management strategies. *Frontiers of Environmental Science and Engineering*, (15), 115.
- Louis GE. (2004). A historical context of municipal solid waste management in the United States. *Waste Management & Research*, (22), 306–322.
- M. A. A. Samah, L. A. Manaf, A. Ahsan, W. N. A. Sulaiman, P. Agamuthu, and J. L. D’Silva, (2013). Household solid waste composition in Balakong City, Malaysia: trend and management. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*, 22(6), 1807–1816.
- M. A. A. Samah, L. A. Manaf, P. Agamuthu, W. N. A. Sulaiman & A. Ahsan. (2013). Real data composition of municipal solid waste (MSW) generated in Balakong, Selangor, Malaysia. *Life Science Journal*, (104), 1687–1694.
- M. Alamgir, C. McDonald, K. E. Roehl, & A. Ahsan. (2005). Eds., *Integrated Management and Safe Disposal of Municipal Solid Waste in Least Developed Asian Countries: A Feasibility Study*, Waste Safe Publication, Khulna, Bangladesh.
- Mahyari KF, Sun Q, Klemeš JJ. (2022). To what extent do waste management strategies need adaptation to post-Covid-19? *Science of The Total Environment*, (837), 155829.
- Manggali AA. & Susanna D. (2019). Current management of household hazardous waste (HHW) in the Asian region. *Reviews on Environmental Health*, (34), 415–426.
- Matter A, Dietschi M. & Zurbrügg C. (2013). Improving the informal recycling sector through segregation of waste in the household – The case of Dhaka Bangladesh. *Habitat International*, (38), 150–156.
- McQuaid-Cook J. & Simons CS. (1989). Development and operation of a waste management system in Alberta, Canada. *Waste Management & Research*, (7), 219–227.
- Mebratu D. & Mbandi A. (2022). *Open burning of Waste in Africa: Challenges and opportunities. A report for United Nations High Level Champions and Engineering X*. London: Engineering X.
- Mundus Maris. (2017). *KfW’s development finance forum 2017: Ocean’s 21*. Available at: <https://www.mundusmaris.org/index.php/en/review/other/1840-kfw-en>.
- Muposhi A. & Mpinganjira MWM. (2022). Considerations, benefits and unintended consequences of banning plastic shopping bags for environmental sustainability: A

- systematic literature review. *Waste Management and Research*, (40), 248–261.
- NI DoE. (2000). *Waste Management Strategy for Northern Ireland*. The Department of the Environment, 1st edn. Belfast: The Stationery Office.
- Nyathi B. & Togo C. (2020). Overview of legal and policy framework approaches for plastic bag waste management in African countries. *Journal of Environmental and Public Health*, (2020), 8892773.
- OECD. (2002). *Household energy & water consumption and waste generation: Trends, environmental impacts and policy responses. Working party on national environmental policy, sector case studies series*. Report no. ENV/EPOC/WPNEP (2001)15/FINAL. Paris: OECD. Available at: [https://one.oecd.org/document/ENV/EPOC/WPNEP\(2001\)15/FINAL/en/pdf](https://one.oecd.org/document/ENV/EPOC/WPNEP(2001)15/FINAL/en/pdf)
- OECD. (2022a). *Global Plastics Outlook: Economic Drivers, Environmental Impacts and Policy Options*. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- OECD. (2022b). *Global Plastics Outlook: Policy Scenarios to 2060*. Paris: Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). Available at: <https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/sites/aa1edf33-en/index.html?itemId=/content/publication/aa1edf33-en>
- Pew and Systemiq. (2020). Breaking the plastic wave - a comprehensive assessment of pathways towards stopping plastic pollution. Report prepared by the Pew Environmental Trusts and Systemiq, with Univ of Oxford, Univ of Leeds, Ellen MacArthur Foundation and Common Seas. Available at: https://www.pewtrusts.org/-/media/assets/2020/07/breakingtheplasticwave_report.pdf
- Pfaff-Simoneit W. (2023). *Enhancing Labor-Intensive Separate Waste Collection and Utilization in the APEC Economies. Implementation Guide*. Singapore: Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (for the APEC Ocean and Fisheries Working Group).
- Pojasek R. (1986). Hazardous waste treatment technologies. *Hazardous Substances*, (1), 8–11.
- Powrie W, Velis C, Cook E. (2021). Open uncontrolled burning of solid waste undermines human health: Time to act. *Waste Management & Research*, (39), 1–2.
- Rabe BG. (1992). When siting works, Canada-style. *Journal of Health Politics, Policy and Law*, (17), 119–142.
- Ramadan BS, Rachman I, Ikhlas N. (2022). A comprehensive review of domestic-open waste burning: Recent trends, methodology comparison, and factors assessment. *Journal of Materials Cycles and Waste Managements*, (24), 1633–1647.
- Rapid Transition (2019). The Attenborough effect and the downfall of plastics. Available at: <https://www.rapidtransition.org/stories/the-attenborough-effect-and-the-downfall-of-plastics>
- Read A, Wilson DC. & Olley J. (2005). Building local municipal capacity for strategic waste management decision making in developing countries. In: *Proceedings Sardinia 2005, Tenth International Waste Management and Landfill Symposium*, Sardinia, 3–7 October 2005, Session D15. Padua, Italy: CISA - Environmental Sanitary Engineering Centre.
- Rochman C, Browne M, Halpern B, et al. (2013). Classify plastic waste as hazardous. *Nature*, (494), 169–171.
- Rodic L. (2015). Waste governance. In: Wilson DC and Carpintero-Rogero A (eds) *Global Waste Management Outlook*. Osaka: UNEP IETC,
- Rodic L. & Wilson DC. (2017). Resolving governance issues to achieve priority SDGs related to solid waste management. *Sustainability*, (9), 404.
- Saikawa E, Wu Q, Zhong M. (2020). Garbage burning in South Asia: How important is it to regional air quality? *Environmental Science & Technology*, (54), 9928–9938.
- Savino AA. (2009). Providing the scientific and practical background for greenhouse gas mitigation in waste management. *Waste Management & Research*, (27), 693.
- Scheinberg A, Simpson M, Gupt Y. (2010a). *Economic Aspects of the Informal Sector in Solid Waste Management*. Eschborn, Germany: GTZ and CWG.
- Schübeler P. (1996). Conceptual framework for municipal solid waste management in low-income countries. UMP/SDC Collabora on Municipal Solid Waste Management in

- Developing Countries. *Urban Management Programme (UMP) Working Paper Series*, Number 9. St Gallen, Switzerland: SKAT.
- Seager J, Rucevska I. & Schoolmeester T. (2020). Gender in the modernisation of waste management: Key lessons from fieldwork in Bhutan, Mongolia, and Nepal. *Gender & Development*, (28), 551–569.
- Shamsuddoha, Mohammad, Solid Waste Management in Bangladesh (July 16, 2009). Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=1434967> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1434967>
- Singh E, Kumar A, Mishra R. (2022). Solid waste management during Covid-19 pandemic: Recovery techniques and responses. *Chemosphere*, (288), 132451
- Soos R, Whiteman AD, Wilson DC. (2014). Operator Models – Understanding Local Objectives: Respecting Diversity. In the series: *Concepts for Sustainable Waste Management*. Eschborn: GIZ (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit GmbH). Available via GIZ publications.
- Soos R, Whiteman AD, Wilson DC. (2017). Operator models for delivering municipal solid waste management services in developing countries. Part B. decision support. *Waste Management & Research*, (35), 842–862.
- Steffen W, Broadgate W, Deutsch L. (2015). The trajectory of the Anthropocene: The great acceleration. *The Anthropocene Review*, (2), 81–98.
- Strasser S. (1999). *Waste and Want - A Social History of Trash*. New York: Owl Books, Henry Holt.
- Su P, Zhang J & Yang B. (2022). The current status of hazardous waste management in China: Identification, distribution, and treatment. *Environmental Engineering Science*, (39), 81–97.
- The World Bank. (2020). Urban Population – Bangladesh. Retrieved from <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.URB.TOTL?locations=BD>
- Townend WK, Cheeseman C, Edgar J. (2009). Factors driving the development of healthcare waste management in the United Kingdom over the past 60 years. *Waste Management & Research*, (27), 362–373.
- UK Defra (2022). *Consultation on the introduction of mandatory digital waste tracking*. Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra), Department of Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs Northern Ireland (DAERA-NI), Scottish Government, Welsh Government.
- UK DoE. (1971). Refuse Disposal. Report of the Working Party on Refuse Disposal (known after the Chair as the ‘Sumner Report’), for the UK Department of the Environment. London: HMSO.
- UN Population. (2018). *World Urbanization Prospects 2018 Edition*. New York: United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division.
- UN Population. (2022). *World Population Prospects 2022 Online edition*. New York: United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division.
- UNEA. (2022a). *End plastic pollution: towards an international legally binding instrument*. Resolution 5/14 adopted by the United Nations Environment Assembly of UNEP, Session 5.2 (UNEA-5).
- UNEA. (2022b). *Science-policy Panel to Contribute Further to the Sound Management of Chemicals and Waste and to Prevent Pollution*. Resolution 5/8 of the UN Environment Assembly of UNEP, Session 5.2 (UNEA-5), 2 March 2022.
- UNEP. (2022). *From Science to Action under the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm conventions*. Secretariat of the Basel, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions. Geneva: United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP).
- UNEP & ISWA. (2015). *Global Waste Management Outlook* (GWMO). Eds: D. C. Wilson & A. Carpintero-Rogero. Osaka: UNEP IETC. Main report.
- UN-Habitat. (2021a). Waste Wise Cities Tool: Step-by-Step Guide to Assess a City’s MSWM Performance through SDG indicator 11.6.1 Monitoring. Prepared by Takeuchi, N, Whiteman AD, Zabaletta, I.; and Velis, C. (UN Habitat, Waste aware, EAWAG and University of Leeds). Nairobi: UN-Habitat.

- UN-Habitat and NIVA. (2022). *Leaving no one behind: How a global instrument to end plastic pollution can enable a just transition for the people informally collecting & recovering waste*. NIVA: Norwegian Institute for Water Research. Funds: Norwegian Ministry of Climate & Environment.
- US EPA. (1989). *Decision-Maker's Guide to Solid Waste Management*. 2nd edn, vol 1. Report No. EPA/530-SW-89-072. Washington, DC: US Environmental Protection Agency.
- US EPA. (1995). *Decision-Maker's Guide to Solid Waste Management*. 2nd edn, vol 2. Report No. EPA/530-R-95-023. Washington, DC: US Environmental Protection Agency.
- Van de Klundert A & Anschütz J. (2001). *Integrated sustainable waste management - the concept*. In: Scheinberg A (ed.) *Integrated Sustainable Waste Management: Tools for Decision-Makers, Set of Five Tools for Decisionmakers - Experiences from the Urban Waste Expertise Programme (1995-2001)*. Gouda, The Netherlands: WASTE.
- Velis CA. (2022). Plastic pollution global treaty to cover waste pickers and open burning? *Waste Management & Research*, (40), 1–2.
- Velis CA. & Cook E. (2021). Mismanagement of plastic waste through open burning with emphasis on the Global South: A systematic review of risks to occupational and public health. *Environmental Science & Technology*, (55), 7186–7207.
- Velis CA. & Cook E. (2021). Mismanagement of plastic waste through open burning with emphasis on the Global South: A systematic review of risks to occupational and public health. *Environmental Science & Technology*, (55), 7186–7207.
- Velis CA. & Mavropoulos A. (2016). Unsound waste management and public health: The neglected link? *Waste Management & Research*, (34), 277–279.
- Velis CA, Lerpiniere D & Tsakona M. (2017). *Prevent Marine Plastic Litter - Now! ISWA Marine Task Force Report*. Vienna: International Solid Wastes Association (ISWA).
- Velis CA, Wilson DC, Gavish Y. (2023). Socio-economic development drives solid waste management performance in cities: A global analysis using machine learning. *Science of the Total Environment*, (872), 161913.
- Welivita I, Wattage P & Gunawardena P. (2015). Review of household solid waste charges for developing countries - A focus on quantity-based charge methods. *Waste Management*, (46), 637–645.
- Whiteman A, Smith P. & Wilson DC. (2001). *Waste management: An indicator of urban governance*. Paper prepared for the UK Department for International Development (DFID) and submitted by DFID to the UN-Habitat Global Conference on Urban Development, New York, June 2001.
- Whiteman A, Webster M. & Wilson DC. (2021). The nine development bands: A conceptual framework and global theory for waste and development. *Waste Management & Research*, (39), 1218–1236.
- WHO. (1999). *Safe Management of Wastes from Health-Care Facilities*, 1st edn. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- WHO and UNEP. (1983). *Management of Hazardous Waste - Policy Guidelines and Code of Practice*. Edited by M.J. Suess and J.W. Huisman. WHO Regional Publications European Series No. 14. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe.
- Wilson DC. (1979a). *Recent developments in the management of hazardous wastes*. In: Porteous A (ed.) *Developments in Environmental Control and Public Health - 1*. Barking, Essex: Applied Science Publishers.
- Wilson DC. (1981). *Waste Management - Planning, Evaluation, Technologies*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Wilson DC. (1982a). *The management of hazardous waste*. *Chemistry in Britain*, October, pp. 720–729.
- Wilson DC. (1996). Stick or carrot? The use of policy measures to move waste management up the hierarchy. *Waste Management & Research*, (14), 385–398.
- Wilson DC. (1999b). *International Perspectives in Hazardous Waste Management: 1999 Edition*. Prepared on behalf of the ISWA Working Group on Hazardous Waste.

- Wilson DC. (2007). Development drivers for waste management. *Waste Management & Research*, (25), 198–207.
- Wilson DC. (2018a). *Hazardous waste – Plus ça Change*. CIWM Journal, August: 16–17.
- Wilson DC. (2018b). Charge! CIWM Journal, September: 18–21. Available at: <https://davidcwilson.com/resource-and-waste-management/payingforhousehold-waste-services-charge/>
- Wilson DC. (2018b). Charge! CIWM Journal, September: 18–21. Available at: <https://davidcwilson.com/resource-and-waste-management/payingforhousehold-waste-services-charge/>
- Wilson DC. & Forester WS. (1987). *Summary and analysis of hazardous waste management in ISWA countries*. In: Forester WS and Skinnner JH (eds) *International Perspectives on Hazardous Waste Management. A Report from the ISWA Working Group on Hazardous Wastes*. London: Academic Press.
- Wilson DC. & Smith R. (2005). The hazwaste dilemma - How the UK is coping with the demise of co-disposal. *Waste Management World*, September–October, 64–69.
- Wilson DC. & Velis CA. (2015). Waste management - still a global challenge in the 21st century - An evidence-based call to action. *Waste Management & Research*, (33), 1049–1051.
- Wilson DC, Kangojera JB, Soos R. (2017). Operator models for delivering municipal solid waste management services in developing countries. Part A: The evidence base. *Waste Management & Research*, (35), 820–841.
- Wilson DC, Reyna-Bensusan N and Pfaff-Simoneit W (2015c). *Topic sheet 1: Waste and climate*. In: Wilson DC and Carpintero-Rogero A (eds) *Global Waste Management Outlook*. Nairobi: UNEP.
- Wilson DC, Rodic L, Cowing MJ. (2015b). *‘Wasteaware’ Benchmark Indicators User Manual*. Sofia: Wasteaware. 1st edition published as supplementary material to Wilson et al. (2015a), available at: <https://ars.els-cdn.com/content/image/1-s2.0-S0956053X14004905-mmcl.pdf>
- Wilson DC, Velis CA & Rodic L. (2013). Integrated sustainable waste management in developing countries. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers, Waste and Resource Management*, (166), 1–18.
- Wilson DC, Whiteman A. & Tormin A. (2000). *Strategic planning guide for municipal solid waste management*. Prepared for the World Bank. Available at: <https://rwm.global/> (Click on ‘Tools’ and ‘Strategic Planning Guide’).
- Wilson, David C. (2023). Learning from the past to plan for the future: An historical review of the evolution of waste and resource management 1970–2020 and reflections on priorities 2020–2030 – The perspective of an involved witness. *Waste Management & Research 2023*, 41(12) 1754–1813.
- Wittmer J. (2022). Dirty work in the clean city: An embodied Urban political ecology of women informal recyclers’ work in the ‘clean city’. *Environment and Planning E: Nature and Space*, (6), 1343–1365.
- World Bank Group. (2018). *Municipal Solid Waste Management - A Roadmap for Reform for Policy Makers*. Prepared by the World Bank and Tokyo Development Learning Centre. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- World Health Organization. Available at: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241548564>
- WRAP. (2021). *Net zero: Why resource efficiency holds the answers - our eight priorities to achieving net zero*. WRAP and Centre for Research into Energy Demand Solutions (CREDS) at the University of Leeds.
- WRAP (2022). *Gate Fees 2021/22 Report - Comparing the Costs of Alternative Waste Treatment Options*. Banbury, UK: WRAP.
- Xevgenos D, Papadaskalopoulou C, Panaretou V, et al., (2015). Success stories for recycling of MSW at municipal level: A review. *Waste and Biomass Valorization*, (6), 657–684.
- Zhu X & Rochman C. (2022). Emissions inventories of plastic pollution: A critical foundation of an international agreement to inform targets and quantify progress. *Environmental Science & Technology*, (56), 3309–3312.

- Zurbrügg C, Caniato M. & Vaccari M. (2014). How assessment methods can support solid waste management in developing countries - a critical review. *Sustainability*, (6), 545–570.
- Bagui, B. E., & Arellano, L. R. A. C. . (2021). Zero Waste Store: A Way to Promote Environment-friendly Living. *International Journal of Qualitative Research*, 1(2), 150-155.
- Oluwagbayide, S. D., Abulude, F. O., Akinnusotu, A., & Arifalo, K. M. (2024). The Relationship between Waste Management Practices and Human Health: New Perspective and Consequences. *Indonesian Journal of Innovation and Applied Sciences (IJIAS)*, 4(1), 19-34.
- Bimmoy, E. D. (2022). Interrelationship of Food Wastage and Sustainable Development: A Review. *International Journal of Qualitative Research*, 1(3), 228-232.
- Coracero, E. E., Gallego, R. J., Frago, K. J. M., & Gonzales, R. J. R. (2021). A Long-Standing Problem: A Review on the Solid Waste Management in the Philippines. *Indonesian Journal of Social and Environmental Issues (IJSEI)*, 2(3), 213-220.
- Ahmed, N. (2019, October 7). When the garbage piles up. *The Daily Star*. Retrieved from <https://www.thedailystar.net/opinion/environment/news/when-the-garbage-piles-1810375>
- Commercial Zone (Retrieved on 30.12.2023). available at <https://www.commercialzone.com/a-brief-history-of-waste-management/#:~:text=Ancient%20Times,bronze%20to%20be%20used%20later>.
- Dhaka Tribune. (2020a, September 9). *Waste: the next solvable problem*. Dhaka Tribune.