



Corporate Social Responsibility Initiatives and Community Development: A Review of Literature on the Prospects and Challenges in Developing Countries

Mberewere Simbai¹, Matowanyika Kudzanai², Ruvinga Tinashe²

¹Department of Entrepreneurship and Business Management, Chinhoyi University of Technology, Zimbabwe

²Graduate Business School, Chinhoyi University of Technology, Zimbabwe

³Department of International Marketing, Chinhoyi University of Technology, Zimbabwe

Corresponding Author: Mberewere Simbai; Email: mbelaz.mberewere@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Community Development, Corporate Social Responsibility, Ethical Responsibility, Legal Responsibility.

Received : 5 July 2024

Revised : 03 November 2024

Accepted : 30 November 2024

ABSTRACT

Businesses used to exist primarily for the goal of making profits. Corporations, however, are now realizing that they must adopt a socially responsible stance to promote community growth and remain profitable in a fast-changing environment. As a result, there is a growing expectation among stakeholders that businesses should act responsibly towards society in addition to being profit-driven. In this view, corporate citizenship, ethical business practices, and corporate social opportunity are all included in modern corporate social responsibility (CSR). According to the notion, businesses should take society's interests into account by being accountable for how their actions affect their suppliers, consumers, workers, shareholders, communities, and other stakeholders in addition to the environment. This duty demonstrates that companies must act to enhance the welfare of all stakeholders to guarantee the growth and development of communities. This analysis examines the several CSR programs that businesses can implement to support sustainability and community development. By so doing the review considers such possibilities and challenges in implementing CSR initiatives. Academic databases such as Scopus, Google Scholar, Web of Science, and the Directory of Open Access were employed by the researchers for document analysis. The research was hinged on Carrol's theory. The study found that corporates may need to undertake different forms of CSR that include environmental responsibility, ethical responsibility, philanthropic responsibility, and economic responsibility. However, politics and lack of funding have been the major challenges in implementing such initiatives.

INTRODUCTION

According to Tamvada et al. (2020), corporate social responsibility (CSR) is a self-policing business model that assists a firm in becoming socially accountable to its stakeholders, the public, and itself. Companies that engage in corporate citizenship, or CSR, are conscious of the social, cultural, and environmental spheres in which their activities impact society (Hui, 2017). A business that practices corporate social responsibility (CSR) serves both the environment and society rather than harming either (Siregar, 2021). Businesses can improve their brand image and help society by participating in volunteer work, philanthropic

contributions, and corporate social responsibility initiatives (Ajina et al 2020). A form of corporate self-regulation, corporate social responsibility aims to improve society and hold businesses accountable to the public (Jiménez, Dittmar, & Portillo, 2021).

A company can implement corporate social responsibility, or CSR, in a number of ways, such as providing for the community, treating employees with respect, encouraging diversity, equality, and inclusion in the workplace, being environmentally conscious and friendly, and making sure that business decisions are morally sound. According to this perspective, businesses' efforts to improve society are referred to as corporate social

responsibility, or CSR (Amin & Fachruddin, 2022; Wirba, 2023). It can be referred to as a corporate plan that supports sustainable development and benefits all parties involved in the economy, society, and environment (Attanasio et al., 2022; Mukmin & Krisdyatmiko, 2021).

Corporate social responsibility, or CSR, is defined as the voluntary allocation of business resources to promote social welfare and fortifying ties with a range of stakeholders as a means of fostering social and community development (Paul & Edino 2020). However, recent reviews and empirical studies have revealed significant gaps in our understanding of the growing literature on the role of CSR initiatives in ensuring sustainability and community development, highlighting the need for more theoretical and empirical data. Since its inception seven decades ago, the majority of research has focused on the firm level using CSR analysis (Fatima & Elbanna, 2023). It is possible to view the full range of community development strategies as a particular type that addresses, plans and constructs the social infrastructure in a given area. It performs best as a process in settings where individuals from various socioeconomic backgrounds are involved and feel strongly linked to one another (Community Glossary, 2009).

Archie Carroll created Carroll's thesis, commonly referred to as the Pyramid of Corporate Social Responsibility, in 1991 (Carroll, 1991). This theory offers a thorough summary of the many CSR projects and their effects on community development by classifying CSR into four categories. Making a profit and maintaining financial stability are two of the economic duties represented by the base of the pyramid (Carroll, 1991). This level is necessary for a corporation to survive and grow. Nonetheless, Carroll's case emphasizes that companies have responsibilities beyond maximizing profits (Carroll, 1991). The subsequent tier of the pyramid denotes legal obligations, encompassing adherence to statutes and guidelines (Carroll, 1991). Because businesses have to follow the law, this level is also crucial.

Altruistic deeds that go above and beyond what is required by law, such as supporting social justice and contributing to charitable causes, are represented by the third level of the pyramid, which stands for moral duties (Carroll, 1991). Businesses have the greatest opportunity to support community

development at this level (Wood, 2010). Companies can show their commitment to social responsibility and improve society by upholding their ethical duties (Porter & Kramer, 2006). The final rung of the pyramid represents philanthropic responsibilities, such as enhancing neighborhoods and making charity contributions to advance society's welfare (Carroll, 1991).

The study's central idea is Carroll's theory, which provides a comprehensive framework for understanding the many CSR initiatives and their impacts on community development (Carroll, 1991). According to this theory, the study can identify the types of corporate social responsibility (CSR) programs that companies have put in place, look at how each level of CSR impacts community development, evaluate how well CSR programs accomplish community development goals, and provide recommendations to companies on how to put in place a comprehensive CSR strategy that aligns with community priorities and needs.

METHODS

The researchers employed a systematic review of the literature methodology. It included a systematic procedure for doing a literature review, using academic resources such as Scopus, Google Scholar, Web of Science, and the Directory of Open Access to find relevant materials. Most of the journals that were used in the literature review were DOAJ-indexed open-access publications. From 2015 to 2024, the search terms were carefully examined in the study text, titles, keywords, and abstract across several academic databases. The study aimed to bridge the gap identified by several authors in the existing literature by examining the contribution of corporate social responsibility initiatives to community development and outlining the opportunities and obstacles associated with their implementation. Peer-reviewed journal papers from academic databases and articles from other publications published solely in English were the sources of the literature search. The articles were searched using keywords such as corporate social responsibility, community development, CSR community sustainability, and the timeframe was fixed as 2015–2024 to determine the latest trends regarding the research aim. Over 250 papers popped out. The manual content analysis of all papers was performed selecting relevant papers.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The term “corporate social responsibility” (CSR) lacks a commonly accepted definition. As per Olanipekun, Omotayo, and Saka (2021), being socially responsible means not just following the law but also going above and beyond by investing more in stakeholder relations, the environment, and human capital. CSR is a business's commitment to manage its social obligations as a manufacturer, employer, market player, consumer, and citizen ethically and sustainably. CSR is occasionally regarded as a part of the “triple bottom line”, a concept that was first put forth by Elkington (1997) and holds that sustainability is determined by social, environmental, and financial issues.

However, companies are encouraged to get involved in CSR since it enables them to become important and powerful social actors (Adomako & Tran, 2022). CSR is ultimately just good business practices that include influencing public opinion and finding a balance between the various interests that are intertwined with enterprises.

Types of Corporate Social Responsibility

1. Environmental responsibility

The foundation of corporate social responsibility is environmental responsibility, which is based on protecting the environment and addressing the effects on the local community (Chatterji, 2024). A company can guarantee that it leaves natural resources in a better state than when it began by operating as efficiently as possible and making contributions to relevant causes (Shayan et al., 2022).

According to Nishitani et al. (2021), companies can demonstrate their commitment to environmental responsibility by reducing waste, pollution, consumption of natural resources, and carbon emissions during the manufacturing process; recycling materials and products and encouraging consumers to reuse them; supporting causes that can balance the company's impact or replenish natural resources; carefully distributing products using methods that minimize pollution and emissions; and creating product lines that support these values.

Environmental preservation is at the heart of corporate social responsibility. A company can demonstrate environmental stewardship by restocking natural resources like trees, recycling waste, lowering manufacturing pollutants and emissions, and developing product lines that

comply with corporate social responsibility. The organization's dedication to sustainability and eco-friendly operations is known as environmental responsibility (Alam & Islam, 2021). An increasing number of businesses each year advocate for sustainable practices and commit to taking the environment into account at every level of business operations.

This could entail lowering the company's greenhouse gas emissions or carbon footprint, moving away from single-use plastics and toward sustainable materials, and making environmental concerns the main consideration in all business decisions. However, the desire to preserve the environment might transcend the company's commitment to sustainable growth. If your company's objective includes saving the environment, you may uphold that by motivating staff to take action.

2. Ethical responsibility

Based on a company's values and just, moral behavior, ethical responsibility is the cornerstone of corporate social responsibility (Orbik, 2023). A company's dedication to operating in a manner that upholds human rights ideals, such as paying equal wages, treating all stakeholders equitably, and engaging in fair trade practices, is known as ethical responsibility (Nnodim, 2024). Businesses constantly develop their standards, but moral objectives can also be impacted by external factors, client needs, and corporate culture.

In addition to treating all consumers equitably, regardless of their age, color, culture, or sexual orientation, the ethical duty also entails treating all employees properly, which includes offering them generous benefits and compensation above the legal minimum. This means expanding the pool of vendors to include suppliers from a variety of socioeconomic, racial, and gender backgrounds; treating all employees equally in the workplace, regardless of their personal preferences; and promptly and courteously informing investors of any operational concerns. Although it is not always obligatory, a business may decide to handle its dealings with third parties in a manner that goes above and beyond what is required by law.

Human welfare-minded businesses can donate money or labor to initiatives that combat poverty, provide healthcare, or aid those affected by natural disasters like floods and hurricanes (Samaibekova et

al., 2021). Many businesses will speak out against human rights issues, such as child labor, racial or gender discrimination, and the push for a higher minimum wage, to promote ethical responsibility. Similar to environmental responsibility, there are ways for your business to promote ethical behavior among its employees. Being ethical and just is a component of corporate social responsibility.

Examples of ethical responsibility include using vendors across demographics, paying employees competitive wages and benefits, treating all customers fairly regardless of their age, race, culture, or sexual orientation, making full disclosures, and being transparent with investors (Tamvada, 2020).

3. Philanthropic responsibility

A corporation's intention, ambition, and objective to actively better society at large is known as a philanthropic obligation. Big businesses may give goods, cash, or services to charities, philanthropic groups, or community initiatives (Malysheva & Sharikova, 2021). This small but important addition improves the company's reputation and informs prospective investors that the company has goals beyond profit.

The foundation of corporate social responsibility, philanthropic responsibility examines a business's deeds and contributions to society (Achmad 2022). To put it briefly, philanthropic responsibility is the use of an organization's assets to make the world a better place. This includes: Regardless of whether a company donates its profits to charitable organizations or deserving causes, Whether a company only works with suppliers or vendors who support its charity objectives, Whether a company provides time off to encourage employees' charity endeavors or matches employee donations if a company sponsors charitable causes or takes part in related community activities.

4. Economic responsibility

A company's plans to prioritize ethics, philanthropy, and the environment must be backed by funding from programs, donations, or product research and development. This includes creating sustainable products, hiring a diverse workforce, launching social awareness campaigns, and implementing environmental initiatives (Demianchuk et al., 2021). The practice of basing

financial decisions on a commitment to social responsibility is known as economic responsibility.

Investing in alternative energy sources, supporting local charities in their endeavors, and increasing financing for educational programs are all ways to exhibit common economic responsibility (Ar, 2022). To maintain economic responsibility, business executives are urged to look beyond operational cost savings and focus their financial decisions around their obligation to corporate citizenship.

CSR and Community Development

Employees and the society at large can both participate in CSR initiatives to fight poverty (Riyanti & Raharjo, 2021). According to Medina-Muñoz & Medina-Muñoz (2020), local poverty can be decreased through initiatives like skill development. Assistance from the community is needed, including donations and volunteer labor to help the impoverished, financial support for schools and hospitals, and participation in programs to eradicate hunger (Kunyanti & Mujiono 2021).

There are numerous advantages to business community development that show corporate social responsibility for the local communities.

To minimize conflicts that could impede the company's ability to achieve its goals, businesses and organizations should focus more on corporate social responsibility (Abebe Mamo et al., 2024). Furthermore, recognizing that workers are a resource that should be valued, that ethical considerations are important to the business, and that suppliers and customers need corporations' cooperation, many countries have turned to corporate social responsibility (CSR) as a way to promote socioeconomic development (Purnamawati, Yuniarta, & Jie, 2023).

CSR contributes to environmental protection (Lu et al., 2019). By taking steps to lessen their environmental impact, for instance, several of the biggest corporations in the world have demonstrated their strong commitment to corporate social responsibility (CSR) (Wirba, 2023). These businesses adopt the stance that social reputation and company success may be influenced by both environmental and financial performance. As "going green" becomes more fashionable, this kind of thinking will only help to improve the employment value proposition (Al Amosh, Khatib, & Ananzeh, 2023).

CSR facilitates communication about the detrimental effects of industrialization (Lund-Thomsen, Lindgreen, & Vanhamme, 2016). Businesses that take part in CSR initiatives typically adhere to moral business principles. The World Business Council for Sustainable Development is one of the primary groups that promotes the concept of corporate social responsibility (WBCSD). Three conceptual frameworks on corporate social responsibility economic wealth creation, environmental improvement, and social responsibility have been developed by WBCSD.

The WBCSD outlines the requirements that a business must meet to generate financial benefits, handle environmental improvement dynamics, and obtain and keep community confidence. CSR strengthens the bonds between businesses and the community.

Nearly 85.4% of the world's population lives in developing nations. Socially conscious corporations have the potential to make a significant contribution to the sustainable socio-cultural, environmental, and socio-economic development of emerging economies through employee participation in corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives and socially conscious behavior (Gietel-Basten & Sobotka, 2020). In their reviews and editorials, a number of CSR experts pointed out that micro-CSR in developing countries is becoming a separate field of study, especially when paired with human resource management research (Mahmud et al., 2023). It is somewhat surprising, though, because current micro-CSR research has seldom ever looked into the mechanisms that explain how dimensional CSR action influences employee-level behavioral outcomes, particularly in a developing country like Bangladesh (Mahmud et al., 2023).

The more competitive and dynamic global economy, along with the notion that corporate interests should be aligned against free market capitalism, has increased the need for Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) among firms (Khan et al., 2021). Many contend that the primary goal of the CSR framework is to compel business entities to engage in close community interactions and evaluate the effects of their activities on the community's major stakeholders.

Given how the global business environment is changing and how stakeholders may now obtain accurate information, corporate social responsibility

(CSR) has really greatly increased the visibility of business and economic issues (Wickert, 2021).

According to Carrol and Shabana (2010), businesses provide important societal functions in addition to generating revenue for their owners. Companies that take part in social activities get more exposure and improve their corporate image, which broadens their market niche (Mandina et al, 2014). Because of the advantages of corporate social responsibility (CSR), businesses take into account factors including how well they can include the community in their everyday operations in addition to maximizing profits (Ferrarini, 2021).

In line with Carrol's (1991) findings, Kawamura (2004) primarily associates Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) with legal, economic, ethical, social contribution, and environmental issues, excluding charitable duties. According to Carroll (1991), the philanthropic responsibilities represented in the CSR pyramid encourage businesses to collaborate closely with their local community as one of their primary stakeholders to enhance the quality of life and provide resources to the community.

Unquestionably, corporations have a significant impact on our lives in a variety of ways; they also have a significant impact on states, businesses, and communities (Mbirigenda, 2015). Pratic and Chandan (2009) found that corporate social responsibility (CSR) is essential to guaranteeing community prosperity in the Indian context, according to Mbirigenda (2015).

They went on to say that business profitability, long-term profitability, and risk management may all be achieved through CSR practices and policies. In their study on corporate governance and corporate social responsibility, Voronkova et al. (2020) came to the logical conclusion that all businesses must have a CSR strategy and that public firms should give social activities top priority in their planning and budgeting processes. However, Proti (2012) examined CSR's contribution to sustainable development in an African context. Businesses should promote society's well-being since, according to the study, it has a big influence on how profitable a firm can be.

Pratic and Chandan (2009) found that corporate social responsibility (CSR) is essential to guaranteeing community prosperity in the Indian context, according to Mbirigenda (2015). They went

on to say that business profitability, long-term profitability, and risk management may all be achieved through CSR practices and policies. In their study on corporate governance and corporate social responsibility, Voronkova et al. (2020) came to the logical conclusion that all businesses must have a CSR strategy and that public firms should give social activities top priority in their planning and budgeting processes. However, Proti (2012) examined CSR's contribution to sustainable development in an African context.

The Challenges of Implementing CSR

Developed and developing nations face different obstacles when it comes to putting corporate social responsibility initiatives into action (Cezarino et al., 2020). Developing nations frequently encounter the following obstacles while trying to implement CSR. First, community involvement in CSR initiatives is lacking.

Community members in the majority of developing nations are not interested in taking part in or making contributions to corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives. Since there hasn't been a significant attempt to raise awareness of corporate social responsibility (CSR) and inspire local residents to believe in such programs, there is little to no information regarding CSR in these places. The corporations' failure to communicate with the grassroots communities exacerbates the situation.

The provision of social and political security is one of the primary reasons for businesses, both local and foreign, to conduct business in a nation. Businesses will find it very difficult to engage in corporate social responsibility (CSR) given the political and social security issues that most developing nations, particularly in Africa, are facing. This is because they are afraid of not maximizing profit, which would be detrimental to their stakeholders and the organization as a whole. Minimal to nonexistent advantages. Both the business and the community should gain from social responsibility.

Nonetheless, the outcomes are frequently beneficial to the business. Instead of contributing significantly to community projects, businesses focus their efforts on promoting their brands and gaining access to the national market. Their investment in socially conscious activities is greatly outweighed by the public relations and brand

marketing they obtain. Implementing CSR initiatives can occasionally be challenging due to corruption and greed. Most nations, particularly those in Africa, have abundant natural and human resources.

Because of this, multinational corporations are attracted to these nations and investigate these resources to boost national wealth and improve the social and economic climate for the benefit of the populace.

In addition to making the country richer, the majority of these businesses include in their policies the obligation to give some social amenities to the areas they affect through corporate social responsibility. Given the high levels of corruption found in the majority of African nations, it is regrettable that these businesses find it so challenging to adopt corporate social responsibility or CSR. When funds intended for community improvement through corporate social responsibility (CSR) end up in the hands of these leaders, they are misappropriated and used for their self-aggrandizement and self-serving, with no regard for morals or humanity. Along with the corruption and selfishness mentioned above, companies that primarily do business in developing countries have also voiced concerns about the lack of transparency displayed by local implementing agencies, who do not go far enough in disclosing information about their audits, programs, and the use of funds meant for CSR initiatives.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, companies benefit from CSR initiatives in addition to helping the community. These advantages include enhanced reputation, increased customer loyalty, and better business performance. Prioritizing social responsibility, community involvement, and environmental sustainability is crucial as businesses continue to negotiate the complexities of corporate social responsibility. Businesses may make sure their CSR efforts are successful, long-lasting, and advantageous to the community by doing this. CSR initiatives are crucial to preserving sustainability and promoting community development. Businesses ought to implement thorough CSR initiatives that give social responsibility and environmental sustainability a top priority, interact with the

community, and attend to its goals and requirements.

By doing this, companies may assist sustainability and community development while also improving their financial performance and brand. Initiatives for corporate social responsibility (CSR) are essential to maintaining the sustainability and advancement of communities. Through a range of corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives, such as environmental sustainability, philanthropy, and volunteerism, businesses can promote community development. Carroll's theory provides a comprehensive framework for understanding the many types of CSR projects and their impact on community development.

Good corporate social responsibility programs can boost a company's success, reputation, and relationships with the community. Participation and engagement in the community are essential to the success of CSR projects. Businesses should implement a thorough CSR strategy that combines a number of projects and is in line with the goals and needs of the community. The importance of CSR programs for community development cannot be overstated. By tackling social, economic, and environmental issues, taking part in CSR initiatives can improve the lives of community members. Companies that put CSR initiatives into place can also benefit from increased client loyalty, improved business success, and a better reputation.

To ensure the effectiveness of CSR initiatives, businesses should engage with local communities and include them in decision-making. This means conducting needs evaluations, gathering feedback, and collaborating with local leaders. Businesses should prioritize environmental sustainability and social responsibility in their CSR initiatives. In addition to interacting with the community, companies should frequently review and evaluate their CSR initiatives to make sure they are successful. This means keeping an eye on key performance indicators, assessing the results, and making any required adjustments.

Future research areas include investigating the impact of CSR initiatives on community development in specific industries or sectors, examining the role of CSR initiatives in addressing specific social or environmental issues, and analyzing the relationship between CSR initiatives and business performance.

REFERENCES

- Abebe Mamo, Y., Mesele Sisay, A., Dessalegn WoldeSilassie, B., & Worku Angaw, K. (2024). Corporate social responsibilities contribution for sustainable community development: evidence from industries in Southern Ethiopia. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 12(1), 2373540.
- Achmad, W. (2022). Corporate Social Responsibility and Zakat: A Model of Philanthropy in the Society Era 5.0. *Jurnal Scientia*, 11(01), 565-574.
- Ajina, A. S., Roy, S., Nguyen, B., Japutra, A., & Al-Hajla, A. H. (2020). Enhancing brand value using corporate social responsibility initiatives: Evidence from financial services brands in Saudi Arabia. *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal*, 23(4), 575-602.
- Al Amosh, H., Khatib, S. F., & Ananzeh, H. (2023). Environmental, social and governance impact on financial performance: evidence from the Levant countries. *Corporate Governance: The international journal of business in society*, 23(3), 493-513.
- Alam, S. S., & Islam, K. Z. (2021). Examining the role of environmental corporate social responsibility in building green corporate image and green competitive advantage. *International Journal of Corporate Social Responsibility*, 6(1), 8.
- Amin, H., & Fachruddin, S. (2022). Regulation Model of Southeast Sulawesi Provincial Government on Community Empowerment and Development Through Corporate Social and Responsibility (CSR) in Mining Sector. *International Journal of Qualitative Research*, 1(3), 164-169.
- Ar, A. Y. (2022). Corporate social responsibility. In *The Palgrave Encyclopedia of Interest Groups, Lobbying and Public Affairs* (pp. 238-250). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Arsawan, I. W. E., Koval, V., Rajiani, I., Rustiarini, N. W., Supartha, W. G., & Suryantini, N. P. S. (2022). Leveraging knowledge sharing and innovation culture into SMEs sustainable competitive advantage. *International journal of productivity and performance management*, 71(2), 405-428

- Attanasio, G., Preghenella, N., De Toni, A. F., & Battistella, C. (2022). Stakeholder engagement in business models for sustainability: The stakeholder value flow model for sustainable development. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 31(3), 860-874.
- Carroll, A. B. (1991). The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: Toward the moral management of organizational stakeholders. *Business Horizons*, 34(4), 39-48.
- Cezarino, L. O., Liboni, L. B., Hunter, T., Pacheco, L. M., & Martins, F. P. (2022). Corporate social responsibility in emerging markets: Opportunities and challenges for sustainability integration. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 362, 132224.
- Chatterji, M. (2024). Importance of ecological consciousness in corporate social responsibility. In *Place Based Approaches to Sustainability Volume I: Ethical and Spiritual Foundations of Sustainability* (pp. 251-276). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Demianchuk, M., Bezpartochnyi, M., Filipishyna, L., & Živitere, M. (2021). The model of achieving a balanced balance between economic efficiency and ecological-social responsibility of digitalized enterprise. *Journal of Optimization in Industrial Engineering*, 1(29), 45.
- Elkington, J. (1997). *Cannibals With Forks: The Triple Bottom Line of 21st Century Business*. Capstone, Oxford.
- Fairuz, R. A., Faachira, F., Raharjo, S. T., & Resnawaty, R. (2019). Corporate Social Responsibility di PT. Prudential Life Assurance. *Prosiding Penelitian Dan Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat*, 5(3), 251.
- Fallah Shayan, N., Mohabbati-Kalejahi, N., Alavi, S., & Zahed, M. A. (2022). Sustainable development goals (SDGs) as a framework for corporate social responsibility (CSR). *Sustainability*, 14(3), 1222.
- Fatima, T., & Elbanna, S. (2023). Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) implementation: A review and a research agenda towards an integrative framework. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 183(1), 105-121.
- Ferrarini, G. (2021). Redefining corporate purpose: Sustainability as a game changer. *Sustainable Finance in Europe: Corporate Governance, Financial Stability and Financial Markets*, 85-150.
- Gietel-Basten, S., & Sobotka, T. (2020). Uncertain population futures: Critical reflections on the IHME Scenarios of future fertility, mortality, migration and population trends from 2017 to 2100.
- Hui, L. T. (2017). Practicing Corporate Social Responsibility. In *CSR, Sustainability, and Leadership* (pp. 145-171). Routledge.
- Imamov, M., & Semenikhina, N. (2021). The impact of the digital revolution on the global economy. *Linguistics and Culture Review*, 5(S4), 968-987.
- Jiménez, D. L., Dittmar, E. C., & Portillo, J. P. V. (2021). Self-regulation of sustainability as a manifestation of corporate social responsibility. *Revista de ciencias sociales*, 27(3), 16-29.
- Khan, S. A. R., Yu, Z., Panait, M., Janjua, L. R., & Shah, A. (Eds.). (2021). *Global corporate social responsibility initiatives for reluctant businesses*. IGI Global.
- Lund-Thomsen, P., Lindgreen, A., & Vanhamme, J. (2016). Industrial clusters and corporate social responsibility in developing countries: What we know, what we do not know, and what we need to know. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 133, 9-24.
- Mahmud, A., Ali, Z., Ashanuzzaman, M., & Ashrafuzzaman, M. (2023). How and when community-oriented-corporate social responsibility affects employee societal behavior: A moderated-mediated model. *Heliyon*, 9(9).
- Malysheva, E., & Sharikova, J. (2021). Charity as a component of corporate social responsibility of business. In *Economic Systems in the New Era: Stable Systems in an Unstable World* (pp. 648-656). Springer International Publishing.
- Mukmin, N.A. & Krisdyatmiko. (2021). Coastal Community Empowerment Strategy through PT. Antam (Persero) Tbk UBPN Southeast Sulawesi's CSR Program. *Indonesian Journal of Innovation and Applied Sciences (IJIAS)*, 1(1), 31-39.

- Nishitani, K., Nguyen, T. B. H., Trinh, T. Q., Wu, Q., & Kokubu, K. (2021). Are corporate environmental activities to meet sustainable development goals (SDGs) simply greenwashing? An empirical study of environmental management control systems in Vietnamese companies from the stakeholder management perspective. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 296, 113364.
- Nnodim, P. (2024). Corporate Ethos and Justice as Fairness: Aligning CSR with Rawlsian Principles. *Dialogue and Universalism*, (1), 63-81.
- Olanipekun, A. O., Omotayo, T., & Saka, N. (2021). Review of the use of corporate social responsibility (CSR) tools. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 27, 425-435.
- Orbik, Z. (2023). On the Relationship of Business Ethics and Corporate Social Responsibility. *Scientific Papers of Silesian University of Technology. Organization & Management/ Zeszyty Naukowe Politechniki Slaskiej. Seria Organizacji i Zarzadzanie*, (180).
- Paul, S. O., & Edino, F. O. (2020). Corporate social responsibility as strategic management and community development tool by Zenith Bank PLC, Nigeria. *International Journal of Management*, 11(10), 1578-1592.
- Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2006). Strategy and society: The link between competitive advantage and corporate social responsibility. *Harvard Business Review*, 84(12), 78-92.
- Purnamawati, I. G. A., Yuniarta, G. A., & Jie, F. (2023). Strengthening the role of corporate social responsibility in the dimensions of sustainable village economic development. *Heliyon*, 9(4).
- Rasche, A. (2020). The United Nations Global Compact and the sustainable development goals. In *Research Handbook of Responsible Management* (pp. 228-241). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Ruggie, J. G. (2020). The social construction of the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights. In *Research handbook on human rights and business* (pp. 63-86). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Samaibekova, Z., Choyubekova, G., Isabaeva, K., & Samaibekova, A. (2021). Corporate sustainability and social responsibility. In *E3S web of conferences* (Vol. 250, p. 06003). EDP Sciences.
- Siregar, I. (2021). CSR-based corporate environmental policy implementation. *British Journal of Environmental Studies*, 1(1), 51-57.
- Tamvada, M. (2020). Corporate social responsibility and accountability: a new theoretical foundation for regulating CSR. *International Journal of Corporate Social Responsibility*, 5(1), 2.
- Tamvada, M. (2020). Corporate social responsibility and accountability: a new theoretical foundation for regulating CSR. *International Journal of Corporate Social Responsibility*, 5(1), 2.
- Voronkova, O. Y., Melnik, M. V., Nikitochkina, Y. V., Tchuykova, N. M., Davidyants, A. A., & Titova, S. V. (2020). Corporate social responsibility of business as a factor of regional development. *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, 7(3), 2170.
- Wickert, C. (2021). Corporate social responsibility research in the Journal of Management Studies: A shift from a business-centric to a society-centric focus. *Journal of Management Studies*, 58(8), E1-E17.
- Wirba, A. V. (2023). Corporate social responsibility (CSR): The role of government in promoting CSR. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 1-27.
- Wirba, A. V. (2023). Corporate social responsibility (CSR): The role of government in promoting CSR. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 1-27.
- Wood, D. J. (2010). Measuring corporate social performance: A review of the literature. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 12(1), 50-75.